UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,086	09/27/2005	Scott Allan Kendall	PU030096	4375
24498 7590 12/01/2009 Robert D. Shedd, Patent Operations THOMSON Licensing LLC P.O. Box 5312 Princeton, NJ 08543-5312			EXAMINER	
			ANDRAMUNO, FRANKLIN S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2424	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/551,086	KENDALL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	FRANKLIN S. ANDRAMUNO	2424				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07/01</u>	//09.					
	action is non-final.					
·						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-8, 10-15, and 17-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-8, 10-15, and 17-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the B	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list (or the certified copies not receive	a.				
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/14/09.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-8, 10-15 and 17-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 8-10, and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (US 5,749,050) in view of Guillory (US 2002/0075155). Hereinafter referred as Kim and Guillory.

Regarding claims 1, 8 and 15, Kim discloses an apparatus, television signal receiver and the method for controlling an apparatus having an emergency alert function (column 1 lines 40-45), comprising steps of: detecting a first condition wherein signal strength on a selected channel associated with said emergency alert function exceeds a threshold (column 5 lines 12-20). Kim further teaches providing an output if both of said first condition wherein signal strength on a selected channel associated with said emergency alert function exceeds a threshold (column 5 lines 22-30).

Art Unit: 2424

However, Kim is silent in teaching detecting a second condition wherein a broadcast test associated with said emergency alert function is passed, said broadcast test including detecting reception of a test signal that is broadcast on a scheduled periodic basis. Guillory discloses on (page 2 paragraph (0015)) a test signal is periodically transmitted to all receivers operational with the present system to ensure that the receivers are functional at all times. Guillory further teaches determining whether said test signal includes a user selected location code associated with said emergency alert function (page 2 paragraph (0013)). Guillory further teaches said second condition, wherein a broadcast test associated with said emergency alert function is passed (page 3 paragraph (0033)), said broadcast test including detecting reception of a test signal that is broadcast on a schedule periodic basis (page 4 paragraph (0048)) and determining whether said test signal includes a user selected location code associated with said emergency alert function are detected (page 3 paragraphs (0032) - (0035))

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to include the use of a test signal unit capable of scheduling periodic tests. This is a useful combination because a system is will be reliable and problems will be addressed immediately.

Regarding claims 2, 9 and 16, Guillory discloses an apparatus, television signal receiver and the method of claim 1, wherein said broadcast test includes determining

whether said test signal includes a user selected location code (associated with said emergency alert function page 3 paragraph (0034)).

Regarding claims 3, 10 and 17, Guillory discloses an apparatus, television signal receiver and the method of claim 1, wherein said test signal is broadcast on a weekly basis (page 4 paragraph (0048)).

3. Claims 4-7, 11-14, and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (US 5,749,050) in view of Guillory (US 2002/0075155) in view of Ganzer et al (US 5,121,430). Hereinafter referred as Kim and Guillory. Hereinafter referred a Kim, Guillory, and Ganzer.

Regarding claims 4, 11 and 18, Kim discloses an apparatus, television signal receiver and the method of claim 1 (column 1 lines 40-45), Guillory further teaches a system comprised of: identifying one of said channels having higher signal strength relative to said other channels as said selected channel (column 5 lines 13-20).

However, Kim and Guillory are silent in teaching the tuning a plurality of channels associated with said emergency alert function. Ganzer discloses on (column 2 lines 35-40) a tuner circuitry tuned to the channel number of the station providing service.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to include the use of a tuner. This is a useful combination because the emergency unit is delivered along the signal with the highest strength.

Regarding claims 5, 12 and 19, Guillory discloses an apparatus, television signal receiver and the method of claim 4, further comprised of using said selected channel to receive emergency alert signals capable of activating said emergency alert function (page 1 paragraph (0011)).

Regarding claims 6, 13 and 20, Guillory discloses an apparatus, television signal receiver and the method of claim 1, further comprised of: providing a first output message if said first condition is not detected (page 2 paragraph (0014)); and providing a second output message if said second condition is not detected (page 3 paragraph (0024)).

Regarding claims 7, 14 and 21, Guillory discloses an apparatus, television signal receiver and the method of claim 6, wherein said first and second output messages each indicates a corrective action (page 1 paragraph (0007)).

Conclusion

1. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/551,086 Page 6

Art Unit: 2424

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKLIN S. ANDRAMUNO whose telephone number is (571)270-3004. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs (7:30am - 5:00pm) alternate Fri off (EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571)272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2424